

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,978 07/11/2003		George S. Panton JR.	PAN-010 2870	2870	
23353	7590	10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
		& GRAUER PLLO	CONLEY, FREDRICK C		
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	GTON, DC	20036	3673		
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. 0
· ;	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/616,978	PANTON, GEORG	GE S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fredrick C Conley	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  Failure to reply within the set or, extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sereply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		`	1
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	5 July 2004.	·	
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on .		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are allowed.	······································		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 21-74</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Clàim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
··	inor		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	*		FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 2511 C.C.:	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight phonty under 33 O.S.C.	3 110(α)-(α) OI (I).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	-	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		• •	Stage
application from the International Bur	·		-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	·
Attaches ant/a)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/04.	(08) 5) Notice of (6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3673

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 11-14, 21-26, 29-33, 35-39, and 42-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,765,243 to Duncan et al.

Claim 1, Duncan discloses a spine board comprising:

a sealed unitary board structure defining a board structurally adapted to transport a patient and having at least two pairs of hand holds 22, said unitary board structure being hollow;

foam 16 (col. 4 lines 32-36) contained within the entire of said hollow of said unitary board structure, whereby said foam is separated from said the exterior of said unitary board structure.

Claims 2, 22, 36, wherein said unitary board structure comprises a first molded portion 10 and a second molded portion 12 secured to said first molded portion to define said board.

Claims 3, 23, 37, wherein said spine board includes a plurality of hand holds 22 for lifting said patient by emergency personnel.

Claim 4, further including a plurality of slots 21 through said board. With regards to the plurality of slots assisting in the submersion of the board it has been held that a

recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claims 5, 24, 38, wherein said pair of hand holds is head end hand holds positioned transverse to a longitudinal axis of said board (fig. 1).

Claims 6, 25, 39, further including means adapted for receiving at least one kind of head immobilization device (col. 3 lines 29-31).

Claim 7, 26, wherein a tail end 25 of said board is tapered downwardly 28 (fig. 4)(col. 5 lines 45-48).

Claim 10, 29, 42, further including a plurality of side hand holds respectively located longitudinally along the respective sides of said board (fig. 1).

Claim 11, 30, 43, wherein each of at least a pair of oppositely located side hand holds includes a clip-receiving pin located between opposed surfaces of said side hand holds, said pin being made of the same material as said spine board and molded integrally as to be part of the board itself (col. 3 lines 29-31).

Claim 12, 31, 44, wherein said board is characterized as free from metallic parts so that the board is X-ray translucent and/or radio translucent (col. 3 lines 8-10).

Claim 13, 32, 45, wherein said board is made from a thermoplastic material (col. 3 lines 8-10).

Claim 14, 33, 46, wherein an upper surface of said board has a cradle configuration to assist in locating said patient relative to the center of said board (fig. 5-6).

Claim 21, Duncan discloses a spine board comprising:

a sealed unitary board structure defining a board structurally adapted to transport a patient and having at least two pairs of hand holds 22, said unitary board structure being hollow;

foam 16 (col. 4 lines 32-36) contained within the entire of said hollow of said unitary board structure, whereby said foam is separated from said the exterior of said unitary board structure, and further including a plurality of slots 21 through said board. With regards to the plurality of slots assisting in the submersion of the board it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claim 35, Duncan discloses a spine board comprising:

a sealed unitary board structure defining a board structurally adapted to transport a patient and having at least two pairs of hand holds 22, said unitary board structure being hollow;

foam 16 (col. 4 lines 32-36) contained within the entire of said hollow of said unitary board structure, whereby said foam is separated from said the exterior of said unitary board structure;

wherein a tail end 25 of said board is tapered downwardly 28 (fig. 4)(col. 5 lines 45-48).

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 1, 3-10, 12-14, 21, 23-29, 31-35, 37-42, 44-48, 50-55, 57-61, 63-70, and 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,950,627 to Bologovsky et al.

Claim 1, Bologovsky discloses a spine board comprising:

a sealed unitary board structure 12 defining a board structurally adapted to transport a patient and having at least two pairs of hand holds (22 A-F), said unitary board structure being hollow;

foam contained within the entire of said hollow of said unitary board structure, whereby said foam is separated from said the exterior of said unitary board structure (col. 7 lines 49-52).

Claims 3, 23, 37, 50, and 63, wherein said spine board includes a plurality of hand holds (22 A-F) for lifting said patient by emergency personnel.

Claims 4, 51, and 64, further including a plurality of slots 21 through said board. With regards to the plurality of slots assisting in the submersion of the board it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claims 5, 24, 38, 52, and 65, wherein said pair of hand holds is head end hand holds (22A) positioned transverse to a longitudinal axis of said board (fig. 1).

Claims 6, 25, 39, 53, and 66, further including means adapted for receiving at least one kind of head immobilization device (col. 4-5 lines 64-68 & 1-17).

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 7, 26, and 67, wherein a tail end (12D) of said board is tapered downwardly (fig. 2).

Claims 8, 27, 40, and 68, wherein a body of said board defines at least a rib 20 on the lower surface of the board and extending downwardly there from, to act as a rest for the board when the board is placed on a surface.

Claims 9, 28, 41, 54, and 69, wherein said rib extends downwardly a distance greater than the distance that the distal end is remotely vertically located from said bottom surface.

Claims 10, 29, 42, 55, and 70, further including a plurality of side hand holds (22B-C) respectively located longitudinally along the respective sides of said board (fig. 1).

Claims 12, 31, 44, 57, and 72, wherein said board is characterized as free from metallic parts so that the board is X-ray translucent and/or radio translucent (col. 3 lines 8-10).

Claims 13, 32, 45, 58, and 73, wherein said board is made from a thermoplastic material, such as polyethylene.

Claims 14, 33, 46, 59, and 74, wherein an upper surface of said board has a cradle configuration to assist in locating said patient relative to the center of said board (fig. 5-6).

Claims 34, 47, and 60, further comprising a coating 26 to inhibit scratching of said tail end.

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 21, Bologovsky discloses a spine board comprising:

a sealed unitary board structure 12 defining a board structurally adapted to transport a patient and having at least two pairs of hand holds (22 A-F), said unitary board structure being hollow;

foam contained within the entire of said hollow of said unitary board structure, whereby said foam is separated from said the exterior of said unitary board

structure (col. 7 lines 49-52) and further including a plurality of slots 21 through said board. With regards to the plurality of slots assisting in the submersion of the board it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claim 35, Bologovsky discloses a spine board comprising:

a sealed unitary board structure 12 defining a board structurally adapted to transport a patient and having at least two pairs of hand holds (22 A-F), said unitary board structure being hollow;

foam contained within the entire of said hollow of said unitary board structure, whereby said foam is separated from said the exterior of said unitary board structure (col. 7 lines 49-52),

wherein a tail end (12D) of said board is tapered downwardly (fig. 2).

Claim 48, Bologovsky discloses a spine board comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/616,978 Page 8

Art Unit: 3673

a sealed unitary board structure 12 defining a board structurally adapted to transport a patient and having at least two pairs of hand holds (22 A-F), said unitary board structure being hollow;

foam contained within the entire of said hollow of said unitary board structure, whereby said foam is separated from said the exterior of said unitary board

structure (col. 7 lines 49-52), wherein a body of said board defines at least a rib 20 on the lower surface of the board and extending downwardly there from, to act as a rest for the board when the board is placed on a surface.

Claim 61, Bologovsky discloses a spine board comprising:

a sealed unitary board structure 12 defining a board structurally adapted to transport a patient and having at least two pairs of hand holds (22 A-F), said unitary board structure being hollow;

foam contained within the entire of said hollow of said unitary board structure, whereby said foam is separated from said the exterior of said unitary board structure (col. 7 lines 49-52),

further comprising a coating 26 to inhibit scratching of said tail end.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 30, 43, 56, and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,950,627 to Bologovsky et al.

Claims 11, 30, 43, 56, 71 Bologovsky discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for having the clip receiving pin 40 being made of the material as said spine board. It would have been an obvious to have the speed clips made from the same material as the board, since Applicant has not disclosed that the material selection is critical and it would appear that the material taught by Bologovsky would perform equally well.

Claims 2, 22, 36, 49, 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,950,627 to Bologovsky et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,765,243 to Duncan.

Claims 2, 22, 36, 49, and 62, Bologovsky discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for the board having a first and second molded portions. Duncan discloses a board comprising a first molded portion 10 and a second molded portion 12 secured to said first molded portion to define said board. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a first and second

molded portions as taught by Duncan in order to slip or fit the board beneath and injured person.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,950,627 to Bologovsky et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,507,044 to Williamson et al.

Claim 15, Bologovsky discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for having the handholds with a textured surface. Williamson discloses hand holds having a textured surface (col. 5 lines 45-49). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a textured surface as taught by Williamson in order to permit more secure gripping of each hand hold.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-20 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/14/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to the Applicant's arguments Duncan does disclose the tail end 25 of the board tapered downwardly 28 (fig. 4)(col. 5 lines 45-48) as recited in the claim. With regards to the Applicant's arguments to claim 1, Bologovsky does disclose preventing the formation of open pockets where bacteria can become established and treating the board with an anti-microbial agent (col. 2-3 lines 68 & 1-5) thus preventing passage of pathogens between the exterior and interior of the board.

Art Unit: 3673

## Conclusion

Page 11

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL F. TRETTEL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 358